•

# **Co-integration in Pakistan Between Karachi Stock Market and Inflation:**

## Muhammad Subayyal

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to establish the long run relationship between stock market and inflation in Pakistan. Various studies have been conducted on the relationship of macro economic variables on stock markets. These studies give theoretical support of doing work in such direction. This paper checks the co-integration between Karachi Stock Exchange index (KSE) and inflation. Based on Engle Granger test of co-integration it is found that KSE Index and Inflation time series are co-integrated.

Key Words: Co-integration, Inflation, Macro Variables and Stock Market Movement.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Great deal of research has been done on the effect of macro-economic variables on stock market movement. There are literatures available which establish that there is co-integration between macro-economic variables and stock prices in Ghana indicating long run relationship (Adam & Tweneboah, 2008). There have been a number of studies on different stock indices over a range of different time horizon. For example, Modigliani & Cohn (1979) have shown that expected stock returns should equal the current earnings yield on stocks (defined as earnings over price) plus an inflation premium.

Mayasami and Koh (2000) examined the dynamic relations between macro-economic variables and Singapore stock market and report the sensitivity of Singapore stock market to interest rate and exchange rate. US stock prices were influenced negatively by inflation (Humpe & Macmillan, 2007). This kind of study not only establishes a strong theoretical background for the interrelationship of macro-economic variables and the stock prices but also exposes market inefficiencies in reflecting all the publically available information.

The objective of the present study is to establish and validate similar relationship in Pakistani context; by using inflation and stock index. This is one of the most frequently used technique in this paper.

## METHODOLOGY

Among different macro-economic variables considered to be affecting stock indices of Pakistan, Inflation is selected to check the relationship.

Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) is selected as stock index and Inflation to dig out the relationship between them. The KSE monthly closing index was taken into consideration, whereas the Inflation values were available on monthly basis.

According to (Hharris & Sollis, 2003) there exist two distinct requirements to establish a long term relationship between two series through co-integration.

Both series are integrated of the same order d

• A linear combination of the two series is integrated to the order b (b<d) In order to fulfill the two requisites the following course of action can be taken:

I. First, to check out the order of integration of the two univariate series and check for the similarity, in the absence of which it will be difficult to establish the presence of co-integration.

II. Second, bivariate regression is performed, here the response variable being Stock Index and the explanatory variable is inflation. As a result of the regression equation, we will get values for the residuals, which is a linear combination of the two variables in the regression

$$Y_t = \alpha + \beta X_t + u_t \qquad (Biva)$$
$$u_t = Y_t - \alpha - \beta X_t \qquad (D)$$

(Bivariate Regression Equation ) (Derived linear relationship)

Where  $Y_t$  is the stock market index,  $X_t$  is inflation and  $u_t$  is the error term.

III. At the end I will test the residuals for White Noise, if the hypothesis of absence of White Noise is rejected then it is concluded that the two series producing that residuals are co-integrated.

## EMPIRICAL RESULT AND ANALYSIS

In order to identify the order of integration, ADF test has been used and which gives the conclusion that both Stock Index and Inflation time series are I(1), that is; both are of same order. Regression results are as follows;

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for KSE\_Index including one lag of (1-L)KSE\_Index Sample size 76

Unit-root null hypothesis: a = 1

Test without constant

model: (1-L)y=(a-1)\*y(-1)+...+e1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.007

estimated value of (a - 1): 0.0188162

test statistic:  $tau_nc(1) = 2.21287$ 

asymptotic p-value 0.994

## Test with constant

model: (1-L)y=b0+(a-1)\*y(-1)+...+e1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.004

estimated value of (a - 1): 0.00193343 test statistic: tau c(1)=0.124406

asymptotic p-value 0.9676

## With constant and trend

model: (1-L)y=b0+b1\*t+(a-1)\*y(-1)+...+e1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.021

estimated value of (a - 1): -0.278462

test statistic: tau ct(1) = -3.59295

asymptotic p-value 0.03035

Based on ADF test of KSE Index time series, it is proved that in level form the said series is non-stationary. In order to make it stationary first difference has been taken,

COINTEGRATION	XYZ et al.
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for d_KSE_Index including one lag of (1-L) d_KSE_Index sample size 75 unit-root null hypothesis: $a = 1$ Test without constant model: $(1-L)y = (a-1)*y(-1)++e$ 1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.035 estimated value of $(a-1):-1.03003$ test statistic: tau_nc(1) = -6.70583 asymptotic p-value 9.492e-011 Test with constant model: $(1-L)y = b0 + (a-1)*y(-1)++e$ 1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.027 estimated value of $(a-1):-1.24965$ test statistic: tau_c(1) = -7.87818 asymptotic p-value 1.133e-012 With constant and trend model: $(1-L)y = b0 + b1*(+(a-1)*y(-1)++e$ 1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.025 estimated value of $(a-1):-1.27409$ test statistic: tau_ct(1) = -7.93489 asymptotic p-value 3.195e-012 After taking first difference KSE Index series becomes stationary. Hence KSE Index series is integrated of order 1. Similarly, for Inflation time series ADF test has been used to check the order of integration. Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for Inflation including one lag of (1-L)Inflation sample size 76 unit-root null hypothesis: $a = 1$ Test without constant	Based on ADF test of Inflation time series, it is proved that in level form the said series non-stationary. In order to make it stationary first difference has been taken, <b>Augmented Dickey-Fuller</b> test for d_Inflation including one lag of $(1-L)d_Inflationsample size 75unit-root null hypothesis: a = 1Test without constantmodel: (1-L)y = (a-1)*y(-1)++eIst-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: -0.074estimated value of (a-1): -0.384178test statistic: tau_nc(1) = -3.42318asymptotic p-value 0.0006078Test with constantmodel: (1-L)y = b0 + (a-1)*y(-1)++eIst-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.018estimated value of (a-1): -0.893588test statistic: tau_c(1) = -5.92014asymptotic p-value 1.86e-007With constant and trendmodel: (1-L)y = b0 + b1*t + (a-1)*y(-1) ++eIst-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.031estimated value of (a-1): -1.18327test statistic: tau_c(1) = -7.29621asymptotic p-value 3.307e-010After taking first difference Inflation series becomes stationary. Hence, inflation seriesintegrated of order 1.Based on above results; it is clear that both KSE Index and Inflation time series aintegrated of order 1.Table1. OLS estimates using the 78 observations 2001:07-2007:12$
1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.015 estimated value of (a - 1): 0.00517937 test statistic: tau_nc(1) = 5.25839 asymptotic p-value 1	Dependent variable: KSE_Index   Coefficient Std. Error t-ratio p-value   Const -24102 670.364 -35.9537 <0.00001
Test with constant model: $(1-L)y = b0 + (a-1)*y(-1) + + e$ 1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.011 estimated value of $(a - 1)$ : 0.016417 test statistic: tau_c $(1) = 2.71027$ asymptotic p-value 1 With constant and trend model: $(1-L)y = b0 + b1*t + (a-1)*y(-1) + + e$ 1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.007 estimated value of $(a - 1)$ : -0.0393982 test statistic: tau_ct $(1) = -1.38956$ asymptotic p-value 0.8643	Mean dependent var6583.068S.D. dependent var4087.980Sum squared resid44318061S.E. of regression763.6311R-squared0.965559Adjusted R-squared0.965106F(1, 76)2130.689P-value(F)2.38e-57Log-likelihood-627.4348Akaike criterion1258.870Schwarz criterion1263.583Hannan-Quinn1260.756Rho0.713758Durbin-Watson0.575508Results are significant except that R-Square is greater than Durbin-Watson value, whicdictates that results are spurious. The reasons of such results are, the two series were norstationary in level form and there may be the existence of co-integration of these twdifferent time series.

#### XYZ et al.

REFERENCES

- Adam, Anokye M. and Tweneboah, George (2008): Do macroeconomic variables play any role in the stock market movement in Ghana? Unpublished.
- Ajayi, R. A., & Mougoue, M. (1996). On the Dynamic Relation between Stock Prices and Exchange Rates. Journal of Financial Research 19, 193–207.
- Engle, R. and Granger C. (1987) "Co-integration and Error Correction: Representation, estimation, and testing", Econometrica, 55, 251-276.

Gujarati. D.N. (2006), Basic Econometrics. Tata McGraw-Hill.

- Hharris, R., & Sollis, R. (2003). Applied Time Series Modelling and Forecasting. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Humpe, A., & Macmillan, P. (2007). Can Macroeconomic Variables Explain Long Term Stock Market Movements? A Comparison of the US and Japan. CDMA Working Paper No. 07/20.
- Murinde, V. & Poshakwale, S. (2004). Exchange rate and stock price interactions in European emerging financial markets before and after the Euro. EFMA 2004 Basel Meetings Paper, 5.



**Muhammad Subayyal:** Lecturer at National University of Computer & Emerging Sciences, Peshawar. he has published 03 research papers. his area of interest is Corporate & Behavioral Finance & Application of Econometrics to Finance. e-mail : muhammad.subayyal@nu.edu.pk

In order to avoid the problem of spurious regression co-integration test developed by Engle Granger (EG) or Augmented Engle Granger (AEG) has been used. For this purpose residuals from table 1 regression are saved and these residuals are tested for unit root. **Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for Uhat** including one lag of (1-L)Uhat

sample size 76 unit-root null hypothesis: a = 1

### Test without constant

model: (1-L)y = (a-1)\*y(-1) + ... + e1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.016 estimated value of (a - 1): -0.349526 test statistic: tau\_nc(1) = -4.00821 asymptotic p-value 6.26e-005

### Test with constant

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} model: (1-L)y = b0 + (a-1)^*y(-1) + ... + e \\ 1 st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.016 \\ estimated value of (a - 1): -0.34958 \\ test statistic: tau_c(1) = -3.98067 \\ asymptotic p-value 0.001521 \end{array}$ 

### With constant and trend

model: (1-L)y=b0+b1\*t+(a-1)\*y(-1)+...+e1st-order autocorrelation coeff. for e: 0.016 estimated value of (a - 1): -0.351185 test statistic: tau\_ct(1)=-3.93206 Asymptotic p-value 0.01087

Based on the unit root test of U-hat, it is clear that residuals from table 1 regression are stationary at 1% significance level. So, we can not reject the hypothesis of co-integration of both the time series. Both the time series are co-integrated in long run at 1% significance level.

### CONCLUSION

We can easily figure out that after going through such a long process all of the conditions for the existence of co-integration between KSE Index and Inflation have been fulfilled. Hence, there is strong reason to conclude that there exists co-integration between KSE Index and Inflation over the time period taken into study.